## Resolution 10-14

Resolution Determining Consistency of	)
City of Seaside's the Projects at Main Gate	)
Specific Plan	)

THIS RESOLUTION is adopted with reference to the following facts and circumstances:

- A. On June 13, 1997, the Fort Ord Reuse Authority ("FORA") adopted the Final Base Reuse Plan under Government Code Section 67675, et seq.
- B. After FORA adopted the reuse plan, Government Code Section 67675, et seq. requires each county or city within the former Fort Ord to submit to FORA its general plan or amended general plan and zoning ordinances, and to submit project entitlements, and legislative land use decisions that satisfy the statutory requirements.
- C. By Resolution No. 98-1, the Authority Board of FORA adopted policies and procedures implementing the requirements in Government Code 67675, et seq.
- D. The City of Seaside ("Seaside") is a member of FORA. Seaside has land use authority over land situated within the former Fort Ord and subject to FORA's jurisdiction.
- E. After noticed public meetings on August 5 and July 15, 2010, the City of Seaside adopted the Projects at Main Gate Specific Plan ("Specific Plan") and certified the Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report ("EIR"), affecting lands on the former Fort Ord. The City of Seaside also found the Specific Plan is consistent with the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan, FORA's plans and policies and the FORA Act and considered the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan EIR in their review and deliberations.
- F. On September 21, 2010, the City of Seaside recommended that FORA concur in the City's determination that FORA's Final Base Reuse Plan, certified by the Board on June 13, 1997, and the Specific Plan are consistent. Seaside submitted to FORA its Specific Plan together with the accompanying documentation.
- G. Consistent with the Implementation Agreements between FORA and Seaside, on September 21, 2010, Seaside provided FORA with a complete copy of the submittal for lands on the former Fort Ord, the resolutions and/or ordinance approving it, a staff report and materials relating to the City of Seaside's action, a reference to the environmental documentation and/or CEQA findings, and findings and evidence supporting its determination that the Specific Plan is consistent with the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan and the FORA Act (collectively, "Supporting Material"). Seaside requested that FORA certify the Specific Plan as being consistent with the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan for those portions of Seaside that lie within the jurisdiction of FORA.

- H. FORA's Executive Officer and the FORA Administrative Committee reviewed Seaside's determination of consistency. The Executive Officer submitted a report recommending that the FORA Board concur in Seaside's determination that the Specific Plan is consistent with the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan. The Administrative Committee reviewed the Supporting Material, received additional information, and concurred with the Executive Officer's recommendation and voted to recommend Board concurrence. The Executive Officer set the matter for public hearing regarding consistency of the Specific Plan before the FORA Board on October 8, 2010. On September 29, 2010 the Executive Committee concurred.
- I. Master Resolution, Chapter 8, Section 8.02.010(a)(4) reads in part: "(a) In the review, evaluation, and determination of consistency regarding legislative land use decisions, the Authority Board shall disapprove any legislative land use decision for which there is substantial evidence supported by the record, that [it] (4) Provides uses which conflict or are incompatible with uses permitted or allowed in the Reuse Plan for the affected property..."
- J. In this context, the term "consistency" is defined in the General Plan Guidelines adopted by the State Office of Planning and Research as follows: "An action, program, or project is consistent with the general plan if, considering all its aspects, it will further the objectives and policies of the general plan and not obstruct their attainment."
- K. FORA's concurrence in Seaside's consistency determination must be based upon the overall congruence between the submittal and the Reuse Plan, not on a precise match between the two.

## NOW THEREFORE be it resolved:

- 1. The FORA Board recognizes the City of Seaside's August 5, 2010 recommendation that the FORA Board find consistency between the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan and the Specific Plan was appropriate.
- 2. The Board has reviewed and considered the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan Final Environmental Impact Report and Seaside's environmental documentation is adequate and complies with the California Environmental Quality Act. The Board finds further that these documents are sufficient for purposes of FORA's determination for consistency of the Specific Plan.
- 3. The Board has considered the materials submitted with this application, the recommendation of the Executive Officer and Administrative Committee concerning the application and oral and written testimony presented at the hearings on the consistency determination, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

- 4. The Board finds that the Specific Plan is consistent with the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan. The Board further finds that the legislative decision made herein has been based in part upon the substantial evidence submitted regarding allowable land uses, a weighing of the Base Reuse Plan's emphasis on a resource constrained sustainable reuse that evidences a balance between jobs created and housing provided, and that the cumulative land uses contained in Seaside's submittal are not more intense or dense than those contained in the Base Reuse Plan.
- 5. The Specific Plan will, considering all its aspects, further the objectives and policies of the Final Base Reuse Plan. The Seaside application is hereby determined to satisfy the requirements of Title 7.85 of the Government Code and the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan.

Upon motion by Director Parker, seconded by Director Edelen, the foregoing resolution was passed on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2010, by the following vote:

AYES: 12 Directors: Parker, Edelen, Rubio, Ford, Pendergrass, McCall, McCloud, Mancini, Kampe and Barnes

NOES: - 0-

ABSTENTIONS: -0-

ABSENT: 1 – Director Potter

I, Mayor Rubio, Chair of the Board of Directors of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority of the County of Monterey, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an original order of the said Board of Directors duly made and entered under Item 7a, Page 4, of the board meeting minutes of October 8, 2010 thereof, which are kept in the Minute Book resident in the offices of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority.

DATED 10-8-10

Ralph Rubio

Chair, Board of Directors Fort Ord Reuse Authority